


# A majority of voters in all 50 states agree with legislation like an extreme risk law.

Voters in every state in the country overwhelmingly agree that family or law enforcement should be able to ask a judge to temporarily suspend a person's access to firearms.



## Methodology

This poll was conducted by Morning Consult between October 30-November 4, 2020 among a national sample of 15,639 Voters. The interviews were conducted online, and the data were weighted to approximate a target sample of Voters based on age, gender, education, race, region, marital status, population density, home ownership, 2020 vote choice and 2020 vote mode (early/same-day). Results from the full survey have a margin of error of plus or minus 1 percentage points.

**90%**  
of Democrats

**79%**  
of Independents

**73%**  
of Republicans

agree that family or law enforcement should be able to ask a judge to temporarily suspend a person's access to firearms if they have evidence that the person poses a serious threat to themselves or others.

A majority of voters in 47 out of the 50 states, including Washington D.C., strongly agree that family or law enforcement should be able to ask a judge to temporarily suspend a person's access to firearms.

**Total Strongly Agree: Family or law enforcement should be able to ask a judge to temporarily suspend a person's access to firearms if they have evidence that the person poses a serious threat to themselves or others.**

*By State, October 30, 2020 - November 5, 2020*

