First Regular Session Seventieth General Assembly STATE OF COLORADO

UNEDITED
UNREVISED
REDRAFT
4.16.15
Double underlining

denotes changes from

prior draft

DRAFT

LLS NO. 15-1055.01 Jason Gelender x4330

SENATE BILL

SENATE SPONSORSHIP

Baumgardner,

HOUSE SPONSORSHIP

DelGrosso,

BILL TOPIC: "Auth New Transportation Revenue Anticipation Notes" **DEADLINES:** File by: 4/24/2015

A BILL FOR AN ACT 101 CONCERNING INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING, AND, IN CONNECTION 102 THEREWITH, AUTHORIZING THE STATE, WITH VOTER APPROVAL, 103 TO ISSUE ADDITIONAL TRANSPORTATION REVENUE 104 ANTICIPATION NOTES AND REQUIRING ADDITIONAL AUTOMATIC 105 TRANSFERS FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO CASH FUNDS FOR 106 STATE INFRASTRUCTURE IF CURRENTLY SCHEDULED STATUTORY 107 TRANSFERS TO SUCH CASH FUNDS ARE AUTOMATICALLY 108 REDUCED BY OPERATION OF CURRENT LAW.

Bill Summary

(Note: This summary applies to this bill as introduced and does not reflect any amendments that may be subsequently adopted. If this bill passes third reading in the house of introduction, a bill summary that applies to the reengrossed version of this bill will be available at

http://www.leg.state.co.us/billsummaries.)

REDRAFT
4.16.15
Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

UNEDITED

UNREVISED

In 1999, the voters of the state authorized the executive director of the department of transportation (executive director) to issue transportation revenue anticipation notes (TRANs) in a maximum principal amount of \$1.7 billion and with a maximum repayment cost of \$2.3 billion in order to provide financing to accelerate the construction of qualified federal aid transportation projects. The executive director issued the TRANs as authorized. The final payments of principal and interest on the TRANs will be made during fiscal year 2016-17, which will make available for expenditure for transportation-related purposes only revenues dedicated for transportation by federal law, the state constitution, and state law that the state has been using to make principal and interest payments on the TRANs.

Section 2 of the bill requires the secretary of state to submit a statewide ballot question at the November 3, 2015, statewide election that, as required by the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights, seeks the approval of the voters of the state to allow the executive director to issue additional TRANs in a maximum principal amount of \$3.5 billion and with a maximum repayment cost of \$5.5 billion once the TRANs already issued are repaid in full. The new TRANs would generally be issued subject to the same requirements and for the same purposes as the original TRANs, but, per **section 3** of the bill, would have a maximum repayment term of 20 years.

Section $\underline{4}$ of the bill specifies additional transportation project contract award process requirements and limitations for a project to be funded in whole or in part with new TRANs proceeds.

Under current law, the state treasurer must transfer a percentage of the total general fund revenues to the capital construction fund and the highway users tax fund once a trigger based on economic growth occurs (required transfers). The required transfers will be made for each state fiscal year in a 5-year period, but the amount of the transfers for a state fiscal year may be reduced or eliminated if the state has to refund excess state revenues under the taxpayer's bill of rights. In general, if the refund is greater than 1.5% but less than 3% of the total general fund revenues, then the required transfers are halved, and if it is greater than 3%, then the required transfers are eliminated altogether.

For each state fiscal year that the required transfers are reduced or eliminated, section 5 of the bill adds on another year of transfers to the capital construction fund and the highway users tax fund. Therefore, there will be 5 fiscal years with the full statutory transfers to the funds, regardless of the number of fiscal years that it takes to do so.

Sections 6 of the bill specifies that if the voters of the state authorize the issuance of additional TRANs, money transferred to the state highway fund pursuant to statutory provisions enacted as part of

1

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT 4.16.15

<u>Senate Bill 09-228 may be used for general highway operations and maintenance.</u>

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| 2 | SECTION 1. Legislative declaration. (1) The general assembly |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | hereby finds and declares that: |
| 4 | (a) The growth of the economy of this state has prompted new and |
| 5 | ever-increasing uses of public highways, roads, and other transportation |
| 6 | infrastructure, and the existing transportation infrastructure of this state |
| 7 | cannot accommodate such greatly increased uses; |
| 8 | (b) One of the major concerns of the citizens of this state is the |
| 9 | ability of the state and local governments to address the long-term |
| 10 | transportation infrastructure needs of this state that are critical to the |
| 11 | continued growth of the state's economy and the maintenance of citizens' |
| 12 | quality of life; |
| 13 | (c) The state has significantly decreased its contribution of general |
| 14 | state revenues available in recent years to fund critical priority |
| 15 | transportation infrastructure needs, and current transportation funding |
| 16 | mechanisms do not provide adequate revenues to keep pace with the |
| 17 | increasing demands on transportation infrastructure statewide; |
| 18 | (d) State and regional economically significant transportation |
| 19 | corridors, and their related congestion relief projects, are subject to |
| 20 | available appropriation while construction costs escalate and congestion |
| 21 | worsens; |
| 22 | (e) In 1999, the General Assembly and the voters of the state |
| 23 | approved Referendum A, which authorized the state to issue |
| 24 | transportation revenue anticipation notes to accelerate the funding and |
| 25 | completion of twenty-eight strategic transportation projects in significant |

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT

4.16.15

Double underlining denotes changes from

prior draft

| 1 | corridors, including the T-REX project, the highly successful expansion |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | and congestion mitigation project for the interstate 25 corridor in the |
| 3 | Denver metropolitan area; |

- (f) The success of the 1999 transportation revenue anticipation notes program shows that leveraging existing revenues is the most prudent and cost effective means to accelerate and deliver large scale and economically significant transportation projects throughout Colorado;
- (g) By utilizing revenue anticipation notes for the financing of transportation projects that may be financed, in whole or in part, with federal transportation funds, a significant amount of up-front revenues can be generated for such federal aid transportation projects which will enable the state to design and construct such transportation projects without using revenues available for other important transportation projects;
 - (h) Utilizing revenue anticipation notes to finance federal aid transportation projects also results in significant cost savings to the state, since such transportation projects can be completed at present-day costs, at current low borrowing rates and at an accelerated pace, but the state needs to be able to act quickly to issue revenue anticipation notes in order to realize these cost savings;
 - (i) It is reasonable and necessary to utilize revenue anticipation notes for the financing of federal aid transportation projects; and
 - (j) The issuance of new transportation revenue anticipation notes will accelerate the funding and completion of three and a half billion dollars in specific and designated projects in state and regional economically significant transportation corridors throughout Colorado.

 The projects were identified by the Colorado department of transportation

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT 4.16.15

Double underlining

| 1 | and the | trans | portation | planning | regions | of | the | state | to | be | of | highest |
|---|---------|-------|-----------|----------|---------|----|-----|-------|----|----|----|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2 priority, and economically significant, to the state of Colorado and the denotes changes from

3 regions in which they will be built.

4 **SECTION 2.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 43-4-703.5 as 5 follows:

6 43-4-703.5. Submission of ballot question regarding issuance 7 of additional transportation revenue anticipation notes. (1) At the 8 ELECTION HELD ON NOVEMBER 3, 2015, THE SECRETARY OF STATE SHALL 9 SUBMIT TO THE REGISTERED ELECTORS OF THE STATE FOR THEIR APPROVAL 10 OR REJECTION THE FOLLOWING BALLOT QUESTION: "SHALL STATE OF 11 COLORADO DEBT BE INCREASED UP TO \$3,500,000,000, WITH A MAXIMUM 12 REPAYMENT COST OF \$5,500,000,000, WITH NO INCREASE IN ANY TAXES, 13 FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADDRESSING CRITICAL PRIORITY TRANSPORTATION 14 NEEDS IN THE STATE BY FINANCING TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS THAT 15 QUALIFY FOR FEDERAL FUNDING THROUGH THE ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL 16 REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES AFTER ALL REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES 17 PREVIOUSLY ISSUED FOR THAT PURPOSE HAVE BEEN REPAID IN FULL, AND 18 SHALL EARNINGS ON THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH NOTES CONSTITUTE A 19 VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE?"

- (2) If a majority of the electors voting on the ballot QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015 STATEWIDE ELECTION PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION VOTE "YES/FOR", THEN THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, ON BEHALF OF THE DEPARTMENT, MAY ISSUE REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES TO THE EXTENT AUTHORIZED BY THE BALLOT QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS PART 7.
- (3) FOR PURPOSES OF SECTION 1-5-407 (5) (b), C.R.S., THE BALLOT QUESTION SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION IS

| LA: Please remove double |
|---------------------------------|
| underlining before returning to |
| drafter. |

27

UNEDITED UNREVISED **REDRAFT** 4.16.15

Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| 1 | A PROPOSITION. SECTION $1-40-106(3)(d)$, C.R.S., DOES NOT APPLY TO THE |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | BALLOT QUESTION. |

| 2 | BALLOT QUESTION. |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | (4) (a) If majority of the electors voting on the ballot |
| 4 | QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015 STATEWIDE ELECTION |
| 5 | PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION VOTE "NO/AGAINST, THEN |
| 6 | THIS SECTION IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016. |
| 7 | (b) If a majority of the electors voting on the ballot |
| 8 | QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015, STATEWIDE ELECTION |
| 9 | PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (1) OF THIS SECTION VOTE "YES/FOR", THEN |
| 10 | THIS SUBSECTION (4) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016. |
| 11 | SECTION 3. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 43-4-705, amend (4) |
| 12 | (b) and (13) as follows: |
| 13 | 43-4-705. Revenue anticipation <u>notes - repeal.</u> (4) (b) (I) The |
| 14 | aggregate amount of annual installments of principal and interest on all |
| 15 | revenue anticipation notes issued pursuant to this part 7 that are scheduled |
| 16 | to be paid during any given fiscal year, determined as of the date of |
| 17 | issuance of each series of notes, shall not exceed an amount equal to fifty |
| 18 | percent of the aggregate amount of federal transportation funds paid to |
| 19 | the department during the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal |
| 20 | year in which such series of notes is issued. |
| 21 | (II) (A) The maximum repayment term for any revenue |
| 22 | ANTICIPATION NOTES ISSUED PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY GRANTED BY |
| 23 | THE APPROVAL OF THE VOTERS STATEWIDE OF THE BALLOT QUESTION |
| 24 | Submitted pursuant to section $43-4-703.5(1)$ is twenty years. |
| 25 | (B) If a majority of the electors voting on the ballot |
| 26 | QUESTION SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 43-4-703.5 (1) VOTE |

"No/Against", then this subparagraph (II) is repealed, effective

| LA: Please remove double |
|---------------------------------|
| underlining before returning to |
| drafter. |

15

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT

4.16.15

Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| | 1 | JULY 1, 2 | 2016. If A | MAJORITY | OF THE | ELECTORS | VOTING ON | THE BALLOT |
|--|---|-----------|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------|------------|
|--|---|-----------|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------|------------|

2 QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015 STATEWIDE ELECTION

- 3 PURSUANT TO SECTION 43-4-703.5 (1) VOTE "YES/FOR", THEN THIS
- 4 <u>SUB-SUBPARAGRAPH (B) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016.</u>
- 5 (13) (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this part 7 to the 6 contrary, the executive director shall have the authority to MAY issue 7 ADDITIONAL revenue anticipation notes pursuant to this part 7 only if 8 voters statewide approve AFTER THE REPAYMENT IN FULL OF ALL REVENUE 9 ANTICIPATION NOTES ISSUED UNDER THE AUTHORITY GRANTED WHEN THE 10 VOTERS STATEWIDE APPROVED the ballot question submitted at the 11 November 1999 statewide election pursuant to section 43-4-703 (1) ONLY 12 IF VOTERS STATEWIDE APPROVE THE BALLOT QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE 13 NOVEMBER 3, 2015, STATEWIDE ELECTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 14 43-4-703.5 (1) and only then to the extent allowed under the maximum
- 16 (b) (I) If a majority of the electors voting on the ballot
 17 QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015 STATEWIDE ELECTION
 18 PURSUANT TO SECTION 43-4-703.5 (1) VOTE "NO/AGAINST", THEN THIS
 19 SUBSECTION (13) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016.

amounts of debt and repayment cost so approved.

- 20 (II) If a majority of the electors voting on the ballot
 21 QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015 STATEWIDE ELECTION
 22 PURSUANT TO SECTION 43-4-703.5 (1) VOTE "YES/FOR", THEN THIS
 23 PARAGRAPH (b) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016.
- 24 **SECTION 4.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, **amend** 43-4-714 as follows:
- 26 43-4-714. Priority of strategic transportation project 27 investment program - additional contract award process

4

5

25

26

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT 4.16.15

Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| 1 | requirements. (1) | If the | executive | director | issues | any | revenue |
|---|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|----------|--------|------------|
| 2 | anticipation notes in | accorda | nce with th | e provisio | ons of t | his pa | art 7, the |
| 3 | proceeds from the sa | le of suc | ch notes tha | t are not o | otherwis | se ple | edged for |

the payment of such notes shall be used for the qualified federal aid transportation projects included in the strategic transportation project

6 investment program of the department of transportation. 7 (2) IN ADDITION TO THE REQUIREMENT SPECIFIED IN SUBSECTION 8 (1) OF THIS SECTION, \leq I'm assuming that all of the following projects 9 are also part of the STIP. Please let me know if I'm wrong about that. 10 -JAG>PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF ANY REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES 11 THAT THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ISSUES UNDER THE AUTHORITY GRANTED 12 BY STATEWIDE VOTER APPROVAL OF THE BALLOT QUESTION SUBMITTED AT 13 THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015, STATEWIDE ELECTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 14 43-4-703.5 (1) THAT ARE NOT OTHERWISE PLEDGED FOR THE PAYMENT OF 15 THE NOTES SHALL BE USED ONLY FOR THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS UNTIL 16 SUCH TIME AS ALL OF THE PROJECTS HAVE BEEN FUNDED IN WHOLE OR IN 17 PART WITH SUCH PROCEEDS AND ALL OF THE PROJECTS ARE FULLY 18 **FUNDED:** 19 (a) IN THE GREATER DENVER AREA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING 20 REGION: 21 (I) IN THE INTERSTATE 70 EAST CORRIDOR, EXPANSION AND 22 RECONSTRUCTION OF INTERSTATE 70 FROM BRIGHTON BOULEVARD TO 23 TOWER ROAD; 24

(II) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 CENTRAL CORRIDOR, PHASE 2.0 IMPROVEMENTS, INTERCHANGE COMPLETION AND BRIDGE

RECONSTRUCTION BETWEEN SANTA FE DRIVE AND ALAMEDA AVENUE;

27 (III) IN THE INTERSTATE 70 WEST CORRIDOR, RECONSTRUCTION OF

2

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT

| THE WESTBOUND BRIDGE AT KERWIT S AND CONSTRUCTION OF A THIRD | 4.10.13 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| LANE ON INTERSTATE 70 DOWN FLOYD HILL TO THE BRIDGE AND TO THE | Double underlining denotes changes from |
| | prior draft |

| I | THE WESTBOU | <u>JND BRIDGE A</u> | <u>Γ KERMIT'S AND</u> | <u>CONSTRUCTION</u> | OF A THIRD |
|---|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| | | | | | |

- 3 TUNNELS;
- 4 (IV) IN THE INTERSTATE 70 WEST CORRIDOR, CONSTRUCTION OF A
- 5 WESTBOUND PEAK PERIOD SHOULDER LANE TO MIRROR THE EAST BOUND
- 6 SHOULDER LANE FROM EXIT 241 TO EMPIRE JUNCTION;
- 7 (V) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 NORTH CORRIDOR BETWEEN U.S.
- 8 HIGHWAY 36 AND STATE HIGHWAY 7, RECONSTRUCTION OF THE 88TH
- 9 AVENUE BRIDGE AND ADDITION OF A GENERAL PURPOSE LANE BETWEEN
- 10 U.S. HIGHWAY 36 AND 84TH AVENUE;
- 11 (VI) IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 6 CORRIDOR, RECONSTRUCTION OF THE
- 12 Wadsworth boulevard interchange to improve safety and
- 13 RELIEVE CONGESTION;
- (VII) IN THE INTERSTATE 270 CORRIDOR, RECONSTRUCTION OF 14
- 15 INTERCHANGES AT 62ND AVENUE;
- 16 (VIII) IN THE INTERSTATE C470 CORRIDOR, A MANAGED LANE IN
- EACH DIRECTION BETWEEN PLATTE CANYON DRIVE AND KIPLING STREET; 17
- 18 (IX) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 SOUTH CORRIDOR, A PLANNING AND
- 19 ENVIRONMENTAL LINKAGES STUDY AND CONSTRUCTION OF A CLIMBING
- 20 LANE BETWEEN MONUMENT AND CASTLE ROCK;
- 21 (X) IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 36 PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP
- 22 CORRIDOR, PAYMENTS TO PAY DOWN PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP
- 23 OBLIGATIONS;
- 24 (XI) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 CENTRAL CORRIDOR, INTERCHANGE
- 25 AND CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS AT THE INTERSTATE 25/BELLEVIEW
- 26 AVENUE INTERCHANGE;
- 27 IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 85 CORRIDOR, CORRIDOR (XII)

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT

| <u>'</u> | 4.10.13 |
|----------|----------------------|
| | Double underlining |
| | denotes changes from |
| | prior draft |

| 1 | IMPROVEMENTS | TO | Sante | FE | DRIVE | BETWEEN | Bowles | AVENUE | AND |
|---|---------------------|----|-------|----|-------|----------------|--------|---------------|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | |

| 2 | <u>County Line road;</u> |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | (XIII) IN THE INTERSTATE 70 CENTRAL CORRIDOR, |
| 4 | RECONSTRUCTION OF THE INTERSTATE 70/KIPLING STREET INTERCHANGE |
| 5 | AND BRIDGE TO RELIEVE CONGESTION AND IMPROVE SAFETY; |
| 6 | (XIV) In the interstate C470 corridor, construction of an |
| 7 | ADDITIONAL MANAGED LANE ALONG INTERSTATE C470 BETWEEN KIPLING |
| 8 | STREET AND INTERSTATE 70 TO RELIEVE CONGESTION AND IMPROVE |
| 9 | SAFETY; AND |
| 10 | (XV) IN THE STATE HIGHWAY 119 CORRIDOR, PULL OUT AND |
| 11 | QUEUE JUMP LANES, SIGNAL IMPROVEMENTS, VEHICLES, AND BUS STATION |
| 12 | CANOPIES AND SHELTERS FOR BUS RAPID TRANSIT; |
| 13 | (b) In the Pueblo area transportation planning region: |
| 14 | (I) In the U.S. highway 50 west corridor, widen U.S. |
| 15 | HIGHWAY 50 TO THREE LANES WEST OF PUEBLO; |
| 16 | (II) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 SOUTH CORRIDOR, IN ACCORDANCE |
| 17 | WITH THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION'S RECORD OF DECISION, |
| 18 | WIDEN THE 29TH STREET SECTION OF INTERSTATE 25 TO THREE LANES; |
| 19 | <u>AND</u> |
| 20 | (III) IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 50 EAST CORRIDOR, FINISH AN |
| 21 | ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY AND COMPLETE OTHER CORRIDOR |
| 22 | IMPROVEMENTS BETWEEN PUEBLO AND THE KANSAS BORDER; |
| 23 | (IV) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 CORRIDOR, ESTABLISH A NEW TWO |
| 24 | HUNDRED SPACE PUEBLO PARK-AND-RIDE; |
| 25 | (c) In the southeast transportation planning region: |
| 26 | (I) In the U.S. highway 287 corridor, phased construction |
| 27 | OF A NEW TWO-LANE LAMAR RELIEVER ROUTE; AND |

| LA: Please remove double |
|---------------------------------|
| underlining before returning to |
| drafter. |

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT 4.16.15

Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| 1 | (II) FUNDING OF THE AMTRAK SOUTHWEST CHIEF LINE; |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | (d) In the central front range transportation planning |
| 3 | REGION, IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 285 CORRIDOR, PASSING LANES AND |
| 4 | SHOULDER IMPROVEMENTS ON U.S. HIGHWAY 285 BETWEEN FAIRPLAY |
| 5 | AND RICHMOND HILL; |
| 6 | (e) In the Pikes Peak transportation planning region; |
| 7 | (I) In the U.S. highway 24 corridor, construction of a |
| 8 | SINGLE POINT URBAN INTERCHANGE AT THE 8TH STREET INTERCHANGE; |
| 9 | (II) IN THE STATE HIGHWAY 21 CORRIDOR; CONSTRUCTION OF A |
| 10 | NEW GRADE SEPARATED INTERCHANGE AT THE RESEARCH PARKWAY |
| 11 | INTERCHANGE; |
| 12 | (III) Project 50A TBD <{I would think that we'll need to either |
| 13 | <u>flesh this out or delete it JAG}></u> |
| 14 | (IV) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 SOUTH CORRIDOR, CAPACITY |
| 15 | EXPANSION OF THE MONUMENT PARK-AND-RIDE FROM TWO HUNDRED |
| 16 | FORTY CARS TO THREE HUNDRED FORTY TO THREE HUNDRED SIXTY CARS; |
| 17 | (V) In the interstate 25 south corridor, the addition of |
| 18 | NORTHBOUND PARK & RIDE TO THE INTERSTATE 25 SLIP RAMP AT THE |
| 19 | MONUMENT INTERCHANGE PARK-AND- RIDE; |
| 20 | (VI) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 SOUTH CORRIDOR, EXPAND AND |
| 21 | RECONSTRUCT THE TEJON PARK-AND-RIDE TO INCREASE CAPACITY FROM |
| 22 | ONE HUNDRED TO TWO HUNDRED CARS, MAKE SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS, |
| 23 | IMPROVE ACCESS AND EGRESS FOR CARS AND BUSES, AND PROVIDE |
| 24 | ADDITIONAL CONNECTIONS WITH REGIONAL AND INTERCITY BUSES; |
| 25 | (f) In the intermountain transportation planning region: |
| 26 | (I) In the interstate 70 west corridor, the Dowd canyon |
| 27 | INTERCHANGE PROJECT; |

| LA: Please remove double |
|---------------------------------|
| underlining before returning to |
| drafter. |

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT 4.16.15

| | underlining |
|---------|----------------|
| denote | s changes fron |
| prior d | raft |

| 1 | <u>(II)</u> | In | THE | INTE | RSTATE | <u> 70</u> | WEST | CORR | IDOR, | Vail | PASS |
|---|------------------|-------|-----|------|--------|------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | <u>AUXILIARY</u> | LANES | AND | A WI | LDLIFE | OVE | RPASS ' | TO INC | REASE | SAFETY | Y AND |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 2 | AUXILIARY LANES AND A WILDLIFE OVERPASS TO INCREASE SAFETY AND |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | MOBILITY; |
| 4 | (III) In the interstate 70 west corridor, installation of a |
| 5 | DIVERGING DIAMOND AT THE SILVERTHORNE INTERCHANGE; |
| 6 | (IV) In the interstate 70 West corridor, addition of an |
| 7 | AUXILIARY LANE BETWEEN FRISCO AND SILVERTHORNE AS IDENTIFIED IN |
| 8 | A PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL LINKAGES STUDY. |
| 9 | (V) In the interstate 70 west corridor, conversion of a |
| 10 | ROUNDABOUT AT RAMP TO A DOUBLE LANE AT THE EXIT 203 |
| 11 | INTERCHANGE; |
| 12 | (VI) In the interstate 70 west corridor, improvements to |
| 13 | THE EDWARDS SPUR ROAD DESIGNATED AS PHASE TWO OF THE EDWARDS |
| 14 | INTERCHANGE PROJECT; |
| 15 | (VII) IN THE INTERSTATE 70 WEST CORRIDOR, ADDITIONAL |
| 16 | FUNDING FOR MAX PROGRAM MANAGED LANES IN CLEAR CREEK COUNTY; |
| 17 | (VIII) IN THE STATE HIGHWAY 82 CORRIDOR, A GRADE - SEPARATED |
| 18 | PEDESTRIAN CROSSING OVER STATE HIGHWAY 82 AT OWL ROAD TO |
| 19 | CONNECT A BUS RAPID TRANSIT STOP AND BUTTERMILK SKI AREA AND |
| 20 | IMPROVE SPEED AND SAFETY; |
| 21 | (IX) IN THE STATE HIGHWAY 82 CORRIDOR, A PEDESTRIAN |
| 22 | CROSSING OVER STATE HIGHWAY 82 TO CONNECT THE BASALT TOWN |
| 23 | CENTER WITH A PARK-AND- RIDE; |
| 24 | (g) In the northwest transportation planning region: |
| 25 | (I) In the state highway 80 corridor, corridor |
| 26 | IMPROVEMENTS BETWEEN RIFLE AND INTERSTATE 80; |
| 27 | (II) IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 40 CORRIDOR, IMPROVEMENTS TO U.S. |

7

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT 4.16.15

Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| 1 | HIGHWAY 4 | <u>0 between</u> | <u>Fraser and</u> | WINTER PA | ARK; AND |
|---|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | | | | |

| 2 | (III) IN THE STATE HIGHWAY 64 CORRIDOR, INTERSECTION AND |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | BRIDGE REPLACEMENT AT THE INTERSECTION OF STATE HIGHWAY 64 AND |
| 4 | RIO BLANCO COUNTY ROAD 5; |

5 (h) IN THE GRAND VALLEY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING REGION:

6 (I) IN THE INTERSTATE 70 GRAND JUNCTION CORRIDOR, WIDENING

AND COMPLETE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE INTERSTATE 70 BUSINESS LOOP;

8 AND

9 (II) IN THE INTERSTATE 70 GRAND JUNCTION CORRIDOR, MOBILITY

10 AND INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS ON 29 ROAD BETWEEN PATTERSON

11 AND INTERSTATE 70;

12 (i) IN BOTH THE NORTH FRONT RANGE AND UPPER FRONT RANGE

13 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING REGIONS, IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 85

14 CORRIDOR, RECONFIGURATION OF THE INTERCHANGE AT THE JUNCTION OF

15 U.S. HIGHWAY 85 AND U.S. HIGHWAY 34 AND CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS

16 BASED ON A U.S. HIGHWAY 85 PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL LINKAGES

17 STUDY;

18 (i) IN THE EASTERN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING REGION, IN THE

19 STATE HIGHWAY 71 CORRIDOR, CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS BETWEEN

20 INTERSTATE 76 AND THE WYOMING STATE LINE;

21 (k) In the north front range transportation planning

22 **REGION:**

23 (I) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 NORTH CORRIDOR, ADDITION OF A LANE

24 IN EACH DIRECTION, INTERCHANGE RECONSTRUCTION, MAINLINE

25 RECONSTRUCTION, AND SAFETY AND INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION

26 SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS BETWEEN STATE HIGHWAY 7 AND STATE

27 HIGHWAY 14;

25

26

27

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT 4.16.15

Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| 1 | (II) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 NORTH CORRIDOR, EXPANSION OF THE |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | CAPACITY OF THE PARK-AND-RIDE AT THE JUNCTION OF INTERSTATE 25 |
| 3 | AND HARMONY ROAD FROM TWO HUNDRED TO FOUR HUNDRED SPACES |
| 4 | AND FIRST DEPLOYMENT OF DEPARTMENT PAID OR MANAGED PARKING DUE |
| 5 | TO HIGH DEMAND; |
| 6 | (III) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 NORTH CORRIDOR, AS PART OF THE |
| 7 | RECONFIGURATION OF THE INTERCHANGE AT THE JUNCTION OF |
| 8 | INTERSTATE 25 AND STATE HIGHWAY 34, CONSTRUCTION OF KENDALL |
| 9 | PARKWAY TRANSIT-ONLY SLIP RAMPS; |
| 10 | (IV) IN THE INTERSTATE 25 NORTH CORRIDOR, AS PART OF THE |
| 11 | RECONFIGURATION OF THE INTERCHANGE AT THE JUNCTION OF |
| 12 | INTERSTATE 25 AND STATE HIGHWAY 34, RELOCATION AND EXPANSION OF |
| 13 | THE U.S. HIGHWAY 34 PARK-AND-RIDE; AND |
| 14 | (V) In the interstate 25 north corridor, expansion of the |
| 15 | CAPACITY OF THE PARK-AND-RIDE AT THE JUNCTION OF INTERSTATE 25 |
| 16 | AND STATE HIGHWAY 402 FROM SEVENTY-FIVE TO TWO HUNDRED SPACES |
| 17 | AND IMPROVEMENT OF ACCESS AND EGRESS TO THE PARK-AND-RIDE; |
| 18 | (1) In the southwest transportation planning region: |
| 19 | (I) In the U.S. highway 550 corridor, completion of the |
| 20 | CONNECTION BETWEEN U.S. HIGHWAY 550 AND U.S. HIGHWAY 160; |
| 21 | (II) IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 160 CORRIDOR, PASSING AND MOBILITY |
| 22 | IMPROVEMENTS BETWEEN DURANGO AND BAYFIELD; |
| 23 | (III) IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 550 CORRIDOR, PASSING AND MOBILITY |
| 24 | IMPROVEMENTS FROM THE NEW MEXICO STATE LINE TO DURANGO; AND |

(IV) IN THE STATE HIGHWAY 145 CORRIDOR, REPLACEMENT OF

GONDOLA CABINS FOR THE TELLURIDE TO TOWN OF MOUNTAIN VILLAGE

GONDOLA THAT IS USED AS PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AND REDUCES

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT 4.16.15

| Double underlining |
|----------------------|
| denotes changes fror |
| prior draft |

| 1 | <u>AUTOMOBILE AND BUS TRAFFIC ON U.S. HIGHWAY 14</u> | <u>5;</u> |
|---|------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| | | |

- 2 (m) In the San Luis valley transportation planning
- 3 REGION, IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 160 CORRIDOR, MOBILITY IMPROVEMENTS
- 4 AT WOLF CREEK PASS THAT ARE THE FINAL PROJECT IN THE WOLF CREEK
- 5 PASS ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT;
- 6 (n) In the Gunnison valley transportation planning
- 7 REGION, IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 550 CORRIDOR, ADD PASSING
- 8 OPPORTUNITIES ON U.S. HIGHWAY 550 NORTH OF RIDGEWAY;
- 9 (o) IN THE SOUTH CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING REGION.
- 10 IN THE U.S. HIGHWAY 160 CORRIDOR, CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS, PASSING
- 11 <u>LANES AND SHOULDER WIDENING; AND</u>
- 12 (p) The following statewide projects:
- 13 (I) A TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE BANK THAT WILL PROVIDE
- 14 OPPORTUNITIES FOR LARGER SCALE REGIONAL TRANSIT PROJECTS TO MOVE
- 15 FORWARD WITH LOAN BASED PROJECT DELIVERY OPTIONS.
- 16 (II) BUS OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS TO HIGHWAY PROJECTS,
- 17 INCLUDING TRANSIT SIGNAL PRIORITY TREATMENTS, BUS STOPS AND
- 18 PULLOUTS, QUEUE JUMP LANES, AND BUS-ON-SHOULDER SIGNING AND
- 19 <u>STRIPING; AND</u>
- 20 (III) EXPANSION OF THE DEPARTMENT'S BUSTANG INTERREGIONAL
- 21 EXPRESS BUS SERVICE THROUGH FREQUENCY ENHANCEMENTS ON BASE
- 22 ROUTES AND POTENTIAL EXPANSION OF REGIONAL COMMUTER OR RURAL
- 23 <u>REGIONAL SERVICE, INCLUDING POTENTIAL EXPANSION OF SERVICE TO</u>
- 24 Pueblo and Greeley.
- 25 (<u>3</u>) IN ADDITION TO THE <u>REQUIREMENTS</u> SPECIFIED IN <u>SUBSECTIONS</u>
- 26 (1) AND (2) OF THIS SECTION, AND NOTWITHSTANDING ANY OTHER
- 27 PROVISION OF LAW OR DEPARTMENT RULE TO THE CONTRARY, THE

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT

4.16.15 Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| 1 | DEPARTMENT MUST COMPLY WITH THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS AND |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | LIMITATIONS WHEN AWARDING A CONTRACT FOR ANY TRANSPORTATION |

LIMITATIONS WHEN AWARDING A CONTRACT FOR ANY TRANSPORTATION

3 PROJECT _____ THAT WILL BE FUNDED IN WHOLE OR IN PART WITH

4 PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF ANY REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES THAT

5 THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR ISSUES UNDER THE AUTHORITY GRANTED BY

6 STATEWIDE VOTER APPROVAL OF THE BALLOT QUESTION SUBMITTED AT

THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015, STATEWIDE ELECTION PURSUANT TO SECTION

8 43-4-703.5 (1):

> (I) IF THE CONTRACT HAS A TOTAL ESTIMATED COMPLETION COST OF SEVENTY-FIVE MILLION DOLLARS OR LESS AND THE DEPARTMENT USES A DESIGN-BUILD SELECTION AND PROCUREMENT PROCESS FOR THE PROJECT AND ALSO CHOOSES TO USE EITHER A BEST VALUE OR ADJUSTED SCORE DESIGN-BUILD CONTRACT PROCESS, IT SHALL NOT, WHEN DETERMINING WHICH OF THE CONTRACTORS THAT HAS MADE A RESPONSIVE PROPOSAL IS PROVIDING THE BEST VALUE OR WHICH OF THE CONTRACTORS THAT HAVE SUBMITTED A STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS ARE THE MOST HIGHLY QUALIFIED AND MAY RESPOND TO A REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR THE PROJECT, PENALIZE ANY CONTRACTOR THAT SATISFIES THE LEVEL OF PREQUALIFICATION REQUIRED FOR THE PROJECT FOR A LACK OF EXPERIENCE IN COMPLETING PROJECTS AWARDED ON A DESIGN-BUILD BASIS.

22 23

24

25

26

27

(II) AFTER A CONTRACT IN ANY AMOUNT _____ IS AWARDED, THE DEPARTMENT SHALL POST A COPY OF THE WINNING CONTRACTOR'S FINAL WINNING BID OR PROPOSAL AND A LIST OF THE TOTAL FINAL BID OR PROPOSAL PRICES PROPOSED BY EACH OTHER BIDDER ON ITS WEB SITE FOR FREE ACCESS BY THE PUBLIC. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REDACT FROM THE

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT 4.16.15

Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| I | PROPOSAL | ANY | GENERAL | FINANCIAL | INFORMATION | OR | OTHER |
|---|------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------|----------|
| 2 | PROPRIETAR | RY INFO | ORMATION IN | NCLUDED IN T | HE BID THAT PE | RTAIN | S TO THE |

- 3 CONTRACTOR GENERALLY AND IS NOT ESSENTIAL TO UNDERSTANDING THE
- 4 TERMS OF THE BID. ANY REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS, REQUEST FOR
- 5 PROPOSAL, OR OTHER FORMAL DEPARTMENT COMMUNICATION SOLICITING
- 6 INFORMATION FROM A CONTRACTOR IN CONNECTION WITH THE SELECTION
- 7 AND PROCUREMENT PROCESS FOR A TRANSPORTATION PROJECT SHALL
- 8 SPECIFY THAT BY RESPONDING THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES AND
- 9 ASSENTS TO THE DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS PARAGRAPH (b).
- 10 (4) (a) If a majority of the electors voting on the ballot
- 11 QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015 STATEWIDE ELECTION
- 12 PURSUANT TO SECTION 43-4-703.5 (1) VOTE "NO/AGAINST", THEN THIS
- 13 <u>SUBSECTIONS (2) AND (3) OF THIS SECTION ARE REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY</u>
- 14 <u>1, 2016.</u>
- 15 (b) If a majority of the electors voting on the ballot
- 16 QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015 STATEWIDE ELECTION
- 17 PURSUANT TO SECTION 43-4-703.5 (1) VOTE "YES/FOR", THEN THIS
- 18 SUBSECTION (4) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016.
- 19 **SECTION 5.** In Colorado Revised Statutes, 24-75-219, amend
- 20 (2) (c) introductory portion and (2) (d); and add (2) (c.5) as follows:
- 21 **24-75-219.** Transfers transportation capital construction -
- definitions. (2) (c) Except as otherwise set forth in paragraph (e) of this
- subsection (2), for each state fiscal year from 2014-15 through the state
- 24 <u>fiscal year 2016-17 or a later fiscal year specified in paragraph</u>
- 25 (c.5) OF THIS SUBSECTION (2), the state treasurer shall transfer from the
- 26 general fund to the:
- 27 (c.5) (I) FOR EACH STATE FISCAL YEAR THAT THE TRANSFERS TO

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

UNEDITED UNREVISED REDRAFT

| _ | • | ı | U | • | ١, | _ |
|--------|-----|----|-----|-----|----|---|
| Double | ur | ıd | erl | ini | n | g |
| 1 4 | - 1 | | | | £ | Ξ |

prior draft

| 1 | THE FUNDS | ARE | REDUCED | BY | OPERATION | OF | SUBSECTION | (4) | OF | THIS |
|---|-----------|-----|---------|----|-----------|----|------------|-----|----|------|
| | | | | | | | | - | | |

2 SECTION, THE STATE TREASURER IS REQUIRED TO MAKE TRANSFERS TO THE denotes changes from

3 FUNDS FOR AN ADDITIONAL STATE FISCAL YEAR UNDER PARAGRAPH (c) OF

4 THIS SUBSECTION (2).

> (d) For each state fiscal year after the last state fiscal year in which a transfer is required to be made pursuant to paragraph (c) of this subsection (2), the general assembly may appropriate or transfer, in its sole discretion, moneys from the general fund to the highway users tax fund, the capital construction fund, or both funds.

SECTION 6. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 43-4-206, amend (2) (a) (I); and **add** (2) (a) (III) as follows:

43-4-206. State allocation. (2) (a) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (1) of this section, the revenues accrued to and transferred to the highway users tax fund pursuant to section 39-26-123 (4) (a) or 24-75-219, C.R.S., or appropriated to the highway users tax fund pursuant to House Bill 02-1389, enacted at the second regular session of the sixty-third general assembly, and credited to the state highway fund pursuant to section 43-4-205 (6.5) shall be expended by the department of transportation for the implementation of the strategic transportation project investment program in the following manner:

(I) No more than ninety percent of such revenues shall be expended for highway purposes or highway-related capital improvements, including, but not limited to:

(A) High occupancy vehicle lanes, park-and-ride facilities, and transportation management systems; and at least ten percent of such revenues shall be expended for transit purposes or for transit-related capital improvements.

| LA: Please remove double |
|---------------------------------|
| underlining before returning to |
| drafter. |

| UNEDITED |
|------------------|
| UNREVISED |
| REDRAFT |
| 4.16.15 |

Double underlining denotes changes from prior draft

| I | (B) THE CONSTRUCTION, RECONSTRUCTION, REPAIR, |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | IMPROVEMENT, AND MAINTENANCE OF THE STATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM AND |
| 3 | OTHER PUBLIC HIGHWAYS, INCLUDING THE ACQUISITION OF |
| 4 | RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND ACCESS RIGHTS FOR THE SYSTEM AND OTHER |
| 5 | HIGHWAYS. IF A MAJORITY OF THE ELECTORS VOTING ON THE BALLOT |
| 6 | QUESTION SUBMITTED AT THE NOVEMBER 3, 2015 STATEWIDE ELECTION |
| 7 | PURSUANT TO SECTION 43-4-703.5 (1) VOTE "NO/AGAINST", THEN THIS |
| 8 | SUB-SUBPARAGRAPH (B) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2016. |
| 9 | (II.5) At least ten percent of such revenues shall be |
| 10 | EXPENDED FOR TRANSIT-RELATED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS. |
| 11 | SECTION <u>7.</u> Safety clause. The general assembly hereby finds, |
| 12 | determines, and declares that this act is necessary for the immediate |
| 13 | preservation of the public peace, health, and safety. |