## Inclusive Pedagogical Observation Protocol (IPOP) for Higher Education Colorado College

Stage 1 Coaching Protocol: Foundations of Inclusive Pedagogies

Crown Center for Faculty Development

Instructor: Instructional Coach: Date of Observation:

**Element(s) of Focus:** 

**Other Notes:** 

Department/Program(s): Coaching Observation #: Course Name:

Number of Students:

Numerical	Relevant	Explanation
Rating	practices are	
	observed:	
3	To a Great	Practices are woven extensively across the classroom environment and instruction.
	Extent	Practices occur seamlessly throughout the observation period and are reinforced by course
		curricular materials—for example syllabus, readings, assessments, learning management
		system (canvas), labs, field experiences, etc. The instructor demonstrates advanced
		understanding of the indicators/element and how they relate to their particular
		discipline(s), students, and CC.

2	Somewhat	Practices are often observed throughout the observation and demonstrate a developing		
		understanding of the indicator/element. Practices are beginning to be reinforced by course		
		curricular materials.		
1	Very Little	Practices occur once or twice throughout the observation and demonstrate a beginning		
		understanding of the indicator/element.		
0	Not At All	Practices do not occur at any point during the observation and do not reflect any evidence		
		of the indicator/element. Several non-examples are frequently observed.		

## **Element 1: INCLUSIVE CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT: CC Instructor establishes an inclusive classroom environment characterized by positive relationships, rigorous expectations, respect for diversity, and a community of collaboration.**

**Indicator A: Positive Relationships** 

Non- Examples:	Rating	Evidence: Observed Examples
		Evidence: Observed Examples
Instructor does not address students by their stated name, and/or mipronounces names, and/or	• N/A	
misidentifies students' gender identity.	• ()	
• Some students do not appear comfortable contributing to	• 1	
activities. There is evidence of	• 2	
based upon race/ethnicity, culture,	• 3	
dis/ability, &/or English language		
• Some students seem reluctant to ask		
expectations. Systemically		
	<ul> <li>by their stated name, and/or mispronounces names, and/or misidentifies students' gender identity.</li> <li>Some students do not appear comfortable contributing to classroom discussions or learning activities. There is evidence of disproportionately less participation based upon race/ethnicity, culture, gender identity, sexual orientation, dis/ability, &amp;/or English language acquisition status.</li> <li>Some students seem reluctant to ask questions to clarify concepts or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>by their stated name, and/or mispronounces names, and/or misidentifies students' gender identity.</li> <li>Some students do not appear comfortable contributing to classroom discussions or learning activities. There is evidence of disproportionately less participation based upon race/ethnicity, culture, gender identity, sexual orientation, dis/ability, &amp;/or English language acquisition status.</li> <li>Some students seem reluctant to ask questions to clarify concepts or expectations. Systemically</li> <li>0</li> <li>1</li> <li>2</li> <li>3</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Instructor mitigates power differences by inviting student input into classroom processes.</li> <li>Instructor actively fosters a sense of belonging among a community of learners.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>disproportionately more reluctant to speak up.</li> <li>Instructor does not make an effort to know and understand all students.</li> <li>Instructor is authoritative and does not invite student input into classroom processes.</li> <li>.</li> </ul>		
Indicator B: Rigorous Expectation	18		
<ul> <li>Examples of Inclusive Practices:</li> <li>Instructor emphasizes higher-order thinking for all students. Most coursework requires analysis, evaluation, and/or creation.</li> <li>Instructor takes steps to ensure all students including those learning English and/or receiving instructional accommodations have equitable access to content &amp; learning.</li> <li>Instructor establishes performance expectations that are aligned with students' learning capacities and needs.</li> <li>Instructor consistently and clearly communicates expectations to students in multiple ways (on the syllabus, verbally, through the provision of sample work, via rubrics, etc.).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Non- Examples:</li> <li>Instructor has low expectations. Learning activities &amp; assessments do not challenge students &amp; primarily require basic recall of facts &amp; concepts.</li> <li>There is evidence of disproportionately lower expectations based upon based upon race/ethnicity, culture, gender identity, sexual orientation, dis/ability, &amp;/or English language acquisition status.</li> <li>Some students are disengaged from content and/or peers. Systemically marginalized students appear disproportionately less engaged with content and/or more isolated from peers.</li> <li>Students appear confused about course expectations.</li> </ul>	Rating <ul> <li>N/A</li> <li>0</li> <li>1</li> <li>2</li> <li>3</li> </ul>	Evidence: Observed Examples
Indicator C: Respect for Diversity			
<ul> <li>Examples of Inclusive Practices:</li> <li>Instructor establishes a learning environment that engenders respect</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Non- Examples:</li> <li>Instructor and/or some students interact with disrespect toward</li> </ul>	Rating <ul> <li>N/A</li> </ul>	Evidence: Observed Examples

<ul> <li>for one another &amp; diverse populations.</li> <li>Instructor &amp; students interact in respectful ways. All members work to understand each other's perspectives &amp; diverse ways of knowing.</li> <li>Instructor affirms all students' cultural &amp; linguistic knowledge. Students affirm their peers' diverse ways of knowing &amp; being.</li> <li>Instructor values &amp; affirms students' identities &amp; establishes classroom norms that do not tolerate microaggressions. Instructor disrupts microaggressions in a productive &amp; respectful manner.</li> <li>Instructor possesses an asset-based view of students' differences and views differences as opportunities to improve their professional practice.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>one another and/or diverse populations.</li> <li>Instructor and/or some students show intolerance or impatience for certain perspectives or ways of knowing.</li> <li>Instructor never affirms students' linguistic &amp; cultural knowledge.</li> <li>Instructor does not make effort to affirm students' identities. Microaggressions are common.</li> <li>Instructor possesses a deficit- based view of students' differences.</li> </ul>	• 0 • 1 • 2 • 3	
<ul> <li>Examples of Inclusive Practices:</li> <li>Students are seen as a resource for one another, encouraged to have discussions &amp; collaborate as part of the learning process.</li> <li>Instructor ensures students have opportunities to collaborate with a variety of peers.</li> <li>Students are invested in their own and peers' learning; they consistently support one another across difference.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Non- Examples:</li> <li>Students are competitive &amp; try to outperform one another.</li> <li>Students do not collaborate.</li> <li>Instructor does not vary student grouping. Some students disproportionately self-select collaboration with peers that share similar identities and/or backgrounds.</li> </ul>	Rating         • N/A         • 0         • 1         • 2         • 3	Evidence: Observed Examples

**Element 1 Strengths:** 

Next Steps for Professional Growth:

Available Resources (Crown Center, Dean's office, Conference, Workshops, Book Club, etc.):

Element 2: INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICES: CC Instructor uses inclusive instructional practices characterized by relevant coursework and application of theory to practice. Instructional practices are engaging and differentiated to ensure equitable access for culturally, linguistically, neurodiverse & physically disabled learners.

Indicator A: Relevance					
<b>Examples of Inclusive Practices:</b>	Non- Examples:	Rating	Evidence: Observed Examples		
• Instructor ensures that coursework is designed so that students apply	• Coursework is overly theoretical and lacks practical relevance.	• N/A			
theory to practice. Instructor is explicit about how theory informs practice and vice versa.	• Learning activities are disconnected from students' lives, interests, & experiences.	• 0			
<ul> <li>Learning activities are meaningful to students, include real-world</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Skills &amp; content are presented in isolation rather than in authentic</li> </ul>	• 1			
examples, & invite students to make	contexts.	• 2			

<ul> <li>connections to their lives, interests, &amp; experiences.</li> <li>Content &amp; materials include diverse perspectives &amp; opportunities for real- world application in local, regional &amp; global communities.</li> <li>Instructor builds upon students' cultural and linguistic knowledge to enhance content relevance.</li> </ul>	• Content & materials only reflect the values and experiences of dominant racial, ethnic, cultural, gendered able-bodied & neurotypical groups.	• 3	
Indicator B: Engagement			
Examples of Inclusive Practices:	Non- Examples:	Rating	Evidence: Observed Examples
<ul> <li>Students engage in active, hands-on, meaningful learning tasks.</li> <li>Students are encouraged to pose questions, critically examine ideas, &amp; engage in inquiry-based &amp;/or project-based learning.</li> <li>Coursework explicitly fosters students' analytical skills &amp; critical thinking.</li> <li>Coursework includes opportunities for student choice (working alone or in pairs, choice of topic, etc.)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Learning is instructor directed throughout the class period. Passive learning is the norm (listening to a lecture, note taking, etc.).</li> <li>Students are discouraged from posing questions, challenging ideas, or engaging in critical inquiry.</li> <li>All knowledge/ideas are considered to be generated by those in authority (instructor, scholars, etc.)</li> </ul>	• N/A • 0 • 1 • 2 • 3	
Indicator C: Representation			
Examples of Inclusive Practices:	Non- Examples:	Rating	Evidence: Observed Examples
• Instructor employs a variety of pedagogical practices that align with students' social and cultural norms including non-Western practices that emphasize social interaction.	<ul> <li>Instructional practices favor individual work with little opportunity for social interaction, dialogue, or hands- on learning.</li> <li>Focus is on content delivery, not student learning.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>N/A</li> <li>0</li> <li>1</li> </ul>	

<ul> <li>Instructor offers various forms of representations of information (auditory, visual, multimedia, etc.).</li> <li>Instructor ensures that diverse forms of representation meet the instructional needs of culturally, linguistically, and neurodiverse &amp; physically disabled students.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Instructor does not use visuals, multimedia or other forms of representation to facilitate student learning.</li> <li>Instruction does not appear to consider the needs of culturally, linguistically, neurodiverse &amp; physically disabled students.</li> </ul>	• 2 • 3					
Element 2 Strengths:	Element 2 Strengths:						
Next Stong for Drofoggional Crowt							
Next Steps for Professional Growth:							
Available Resources (Crown Center, Dean's office, Conference, Workshops, Book Club, etc.):							

Element 3: ASSESSMENT: CC Instructor embeds inclusive assessment into their instruction through use of formative assessment, providing multiple means of action and expression, and ensuring opportunities for students to self-assess throughout the block.

Ir	Indicator A: Formative Assessment					
E	<b>Examples of Inclusive Practices:</b>		on- Examples:	Rating	Evidence: Observed Examples	
				0	-	
•	Instructor routinely assesses student	•	Assessment only occurs at the end	• N/A		
	learning throughout instruction & adjusts accordingly.		of a class period, week, or block. Assessment is not embedded			
•	Instructor modifies their approach or		throughout the learning process.	• 0		
	reteaches when it is clear students are not meeting learning objectives.	•	Assessment is regarded as an evaluation tool to determine what	• 1		

Rating N/A	Evidence: Observed Examples
0	
• 1 • 2 • 3	

<b>Examples of Inclusive Practices:</b>	Non- Examples:	Rating	Evidence: Observed Examples			
<ul> <li>Students are encouraged to assess their own learning based on clear criteria.</li> <li>Students are involved in setting their own learning goals.</li> <li>When appropriate, students are involved in determining criteria for evaluating the quality of their work (scoring rubrics).</li> </ul>	• Assessment is always instructor controlled. Instructor is considered the only authority able to determine the quality of students' learning.	<ul> <li>N/A</li> <li>0</li> <li>1</li> <li>2</li> <li>3</li> </ul>				
Element 3 Strengths: Next Steps for Professional Growth: Available Resources (Crown Center, Dean's office, Conference, Workshops, Book Club, etc.):						